The Tulsa Race Massacre challenges our understanding of early Oklahoma as a frontier offering freedom, opportunity, and progress to anyone. The experiences of individual Oklahomans may have occasionally aligned with that understanding, but that occurred infrequently at best. The lives and experiences of Oklahomans are much more complex. The events that transpired on the night of May 31 and the following day are difficult to comprehend, and the fact that it happened is frightening. We have a responsibility to face this chapter in order to know our history.

The Greenwood District in Tulsa after the riots, June 1, 1921 (image courtesy of the Library of Congress).
Tulsa’s long history as an important town and later as a city in Oklahoma began with the removal of the Fives Tribes in the 1830s. The Muscogee (Creek) settled in the area. In the 1870s, the Perryman family based their sizable cattle ranch in what would become south Tulsa. The town grew slowly, with a rail line arriving in the 1880s. At the dawn of the twentieth century, the discovery of huge oil fields nearby convinced city leaders to market Tulsa as a convenient and enjoyable place to conduct the business and financial sides of the oil industry. Several oil industry companies agreed and established their headquarters there. This spurred economic development in the city as executives built further accommodations for the industry and funded building construction, oil infrastructure, and a growing hospitality industry. The rapid growth of the oil industry resulted in Tulsa earning the nickname “the Oil Capital of the World.” By 1920, Tulsa served as the base for over 400 petroleum companies.

This concentration of wealth and the state-of-the-art features made Tulsa an attractive destination for a population already on the move in the early 1900s as they sought opportunity. The population soared. In 1900, 1,390 residents made up Tulsa’s population. By 1910 the number rose to an astonishing 18,182 and then grew even more rapidly in ten years, reaching 72,075 in 1920. Newcomers, from manual laborers to chauffeurs to scientists, found livable wages, and all of the convenience and excitement of a modern city.
Black Wall Street

Within this thriving environment, a neighborhood born of both Jim Crow segregation and the booming wealth of Tulsa existed near downtown. The Greenwood District existed because of a smart business transaction on the part of O. W. Gurley, a wealthy and well-connected African American landowner who came to Oklahoma because of the Land Run of 1889. After moving to Tulsa in 1906, he purchased 40 acres of land along the Frisco rail tracks in north Tulsa. As he built a rooming house and several other buildings on this land, Oklahoma officially became a state. The first legislative action, Senate Bill One, formalized segregation between African Americans and whites throughout the state. After, Oklahoma implemented a sweeping system of segregation, limiting where African Americans could live and shop in addition to how they traveled and existed in public spaces.

At the same time, the economic success of Tulsa attracted African Americans from within Oklahoma and throughout the US. With few options outside of Greenwood and with entrepreneurs actively developing the district into a self-sustaining economic district, the area increased in both population and variety of goods and services. By 1920 the population in Greenwood reached 11,000. Manual laborers and hospitality workers made up the majority and the foundation of the neighborhood who faced challenging work conditions but relatively livable wages.

Because of Jim Crow laws and a wish to support their community, residents spent their money within Greenwood, feeding the growth of the economy. A wide variety of professionals, entrepreneurs, and workers shared quality school and hospital systems, a public library, hotels, parks, and theaters in Greenwood. During this time, African Americans struggled to gain access to these features of city life because of segregation. The homes in the densely populated district ranged from thrown-together shanties to luxurious multi-story homes on “Professor’s Row.” Greenwood attracted nationally renowned African American leaders and activists such as Booker T. Washington and W. E. B. DuBois. In fact, Booker T. Washington may have given Greenwood its nickname: Black Wall Street.
Causes and Catalysts

National Factors

White Supremacy

Even after the horrors of World War I, many white Americans continued to believe and enforce the ideas of white superiority. White political leaders embraced this belief system and used their institutional power to further those beliefs. While many whites directed prejudice, discrimination, and violence toward other groups such as Mexican and Chinese immigrants in the early 1900s, the racism of many Americans remained focused on African Americans. The second Ku Klux Klan organized in 1915 with white supremacy as one of their primary beliefs. During the 1920s, the Klan successfully enrolled between 3 and 8 million members (out of a US population of approximately 100 million) who lived not just in the South, but the entire country. As the president, Woodrow Wilson used his executive power to segregate Washington, DC, and limit the already sparse employment of African Americans in the federal government. Prior to this, the district had remained desegregated since the Civil War. White communities expressed fear and resentment, sometimes with violence, against African American soldiers returning from World War I, especially if they were in uniform. Lynching, especially of African Americans in the South, occurred regularly. The eugenics movement provided a scientific-sounding justification for these actions until it fell out of favor following World War II.

Segregation

Jim Crow segregation laws developed from the ideas of white supremacy. At the same time, segregation laws hid African Americans from the daily lives of whites in the same town. Businesses either refused to serve African Americans or offered unequal services. African Americans purchasing food at a diner were not allowed to eat on the premises with whites. In stores, clerks stopped assisting African American customers when white customers entered. Entrances, waiting rooms, and water fountains were segregated. Transportation such as busses or trains required Africans Americans to sit in separate cars or behind whites and give up their seat if it became crowded. Ambulances refused to carry African Americans and hospitals were white only. Most parks were for the exclusive use of whites. African Americans were prevented from serving on juries, and were forced to use separate Bibles when being sworn-in to testify. Without contact and first-hand knowledge, many whites simply accepted the racist presentation of African Americans in magazines, radio programs, minstrel shows, newspapers, and, significantly, the new format of movies. For many African Americans, presenting as compliant in the presence of whites became a survival strategy. Those who did not act the way whites expected them to could be in danger of losing their jobs or facing physical attack.
Causes and Catalysts

National Factors

Great Migration

African Americans living in the South faced limits in all aspects of their lives. They confronted the brutality of segregation and the violence that supported it. They experienced a lack of opportunity caused by the sharecropping system and the refusal of other industries to hire African Americans. The widespread infestation of boll weevils that ruined cotton crops throughout the region attacked the livelihoods of both white and African American farmers. These conditions resulted in compelling reasons to leave the South if a family could save up enough money to do so. As the first migrants gained a foothold outside the South, the support they could offer convinced others to make the journey. Incredible numbers of African Americans moved permanently away from the South beginning in the 1890s and continuing into the 1970s. Oklahoma’s initial appeal to African Americans from other states came in the early 1900s because of their eligibility to gain, potentially, a homestead in the land runs. The existence of many All-Black towns convinced some to come to Oklahoma and the oil boom brought others. For many whites in places that lacked an African American presence, racist assumptions prevailed. Once the environment integrated, the whites of the area perceived their new neighbors negatively, which reinforced stereotypes and a sense of superiority. Many whites viewed newly arrived African Americans as competitors for jobs, housing, and resources, which created even more racial tension.

This photograph shows African American leaders of 16 counties in Oklahoma. Oklahoma’s All-Black towns were a destination for migrants fleeing the South (961735, Edd Roberts Collection, OHS).

Rapid Urbanization

Some of those whites witnessing the Great Migration were migrants as well. Increasingly, the best opportunities existed in the cities. War-related jobs and the agricultural depression after the war led to the movement of people from all backgrounds to cities in the North and the Midwest, like Detroit, Chicago, Cleveland, and Tulsa. This process could be extremely disorienting, especially as the country underwent several abrupt cultural transformations at the same time, including an increase in secularism, changes in how women lived, the growth of illegal drinking establishments, and the automobile’s transformation of society. Just as in Tulsa, the cities’ populations skyrocketed in very short periods. This created challenges in fulfilling the food, housing, employment, and safety need of new residents.
Causes and Catalysts

National Factors

Lynching

During the late nineteenth and early twentieth century, a form of community violence called lynching served as a tactic to enforce Jim Crow segregation and inequality. Lynching is the killing of a person by a mob without any legal trial. In many places, communities lynched individuals for alleged crimes and union organizing. In the South, communities increasingly used lynching as a way to instill terror in the African American population. In the 1880s, four African Americans were lynched to every one white person. After 1900, the ratio increases to 17 African American victims for every one white person.

In Oklahoma, lynching victims varied. Prior to statehood, African Americans made up 17 of 110 lynching victims from 1889 to 1906. From 1907 to the time of the Tulsa Race Massacre in 1921, mobs lynched 33 African Americans—both men and women. There were almost no white victims in this period.

A frequent “reason” for lynching an African American man was sexual contact with a white woman. This included allegations of rape, sexual assault, and consensual relationships. For African Americans in Oklahoma, it was understood that white communities practiced lynching, and the likelihood of mob violence increased dramatically if an allegation of sexual contact with a white woman occurred.

A mob lynched Edward Berry on August 6, 1915, after he was arrested for assaulting a white woman. This headline is from the Colony Courier (Colony, Oklahoma).
Causes and Catalysts

Local Factors

Media Coverage of African Americans

The major newspapers in Tulsa, the *Tulsa World* and the *Tulsa Tribune*, did not report much on the African American community. When they did, the papers used prejudicial stereotypes rather than accurate presentations. When reports included African Americans, the papers attempted comedy through mockery or presented African Americans as the major criminals in the city. When covering the African American community, these papers focused almost exclusively on crimes involving African Americans, while offering limited coverage of white crime. If reports included whites participating in crime, the papers frequently found a way to blame African Americans, asserting that they controlled white opportunities for gambling, drinking, and prostitution. The local African American paper, the *Tulsa Star*, observed this bias in reporting and declared to its readers:

> The *World-Sun* have at least 500 subscribers among the Negroes of this city, which amounts to $3,900.00 per year. Quite a fat sum to pay to be insulted and outraged at frequent intervals, eh?...A paper which will not publish their social news, but take a keen delight in publishing any article calculated to discredit the Negro in any way and to stir up prejudice against him.

This media bias exposed whites in Tulsa to the consistent message that African Americans were to blame for crime. When white Tulsans tried to understand what happened during the race riots, and why, this bias would impact the way the city leaders and residents made decisions.

The major newspapers focused almost exclusively on reporting crimes committed by African Americans. These headlines are from the *Tulsa World*, March 14, 1920, p. 22; July 31, 1920, p. 13; August 8, 1920, p. 8; August 10, 1920, p. 9; and September 6, 1920, p. 3.
Causes and Catalysts

Local Factors

The Belton Lynching

In the summer of 1920, a year before the massacre, two men and a woman robbed and shot a taxi driver they had hired to take them to a dance. While in the hospital, the taxi driver identified Roy Belton as one of the attackers. The story spread quickly through Tulsa, and when the taxi driver died from the wound, a mob converged on the courthouse jail. They demanded Belton be handed over. The mob, which numbered in the hundreds, drove Belton out of town and hanged him from a tree. After the incident, the chief of police and the sheriff expressed gratitude to the mob for making criminals think twice. Both the Tribune and the World applauded the actions of the mob as superior to government action. The World predicted that Belton’s lynching “will not be the last by any means.” Although Belton was white, the African American community viewed this killing with grave concern.

The lynching of Belton in Tulsa occurred at the same time as another in Oklahoma City. Both incidents left Greenwood residents uneasy. Drumright Evening Derrick, August 30, 1920.

The Elevator Incident

On May 30, 1921, Dick Rowland went to his job as a shoe shiner in a downtown Tulsa office building. Segregation law prevented Dick Rowland from using the restroom in his building, so he was forced to use the restroom on the top floor of a nearby building—the closed “Colored” restroom. Elevators in the 1920s required operators, and women often filled these jobs. On this day Sarah Page, a white woman, was operating the elevator when Roland came to the building to use the upstairs restroom. When the elevator reached the first floor, a clerk heard Page scream and saw Rowland run out of the building. The clerk reported the incident to the police. The police, after speaking with Page, apparently did not consider investigating the incident or apprehending Rowland a high priority. They waited until the next day to arrest Rowland.
Causes and Catalysts

Local Factors

The Tribune

Rowland’s arrest occurred after the *Tulsa World*, the morning newspaper, released their day’s edition. Then the *Tribune*, the afternoon paper, learned of the incident. One of the central controversies in the story of the Tulsa Race Massacre lay in what the *Tribune* did with this story. Many Tulsans reported the *Tribune* contained two articles on Rowland. One, a front page story, reported that “Diamond Dick” Rowland assaulted a “17-year-old white elevator girl” and that the police arrested him. The other piece, on the editorial page, “To Lynch a Negro Tonight,” has been never been verified because no complete copy of this issue has ever been located. Throughout the afternoon, the newsboys sold their papers by shouting, “A Negro assaults a white girl!” Throughout the white community in Tulsa, rumors of a plan to lynch Rowland spread.

Local Law Enforcement

The law enforcement’s response may not be a primary cause of the massacre, but their actions once the violence began made the situation more deadly. The police chief deputized 500 men, all white, from the crowd that gathered as a potential lynch mob. He gave them weapons and sent them out to “deal” with the situation as they saw fit. These newly empowered men looted, burned, and killed with that police authority. The local National Guard focused their efforts on arresting and interning all African Americans that they could locate. This left Greenwood vulnerable with few to defend it. At certain points in the hours-long conflict, the National Guard also shot at African American residents in coordination with other white attackers.
The Massacre

The Crowd Gathers

On May 31, hundreds of white Tulsans gathered outside of the Tulsa County Courthouse as the afternoon turned into evening. They sent in a group of men demanding the deputies hand Rowland over. The sheriff had taken measures to prevent anyone from taking custody of Rowland. At 9 p.m., an armed group of 25 African American men, many of whom were recent World War I veterans, came to the courthouse to offer their assistance in protecting Rowland. The sheriff declined their help and assured the men that the situation remained under control. The group returned to Greenwood. The arrival of the men angered the white mob, which continued to grow in size. It now stood at approximately two thousand. The sheriff took additional precautions and pleaded with the crowd to disperse.

The Spark

Shortly after 10 p.m., a group of 75 African American men, returned to the courthouse and were once again told to leave. As they departed in a single-file line, a white man attempted to disarm one of the African American men. The man resisted. In the scuffle, the weapon discharged. Both sides exchanged fire.

Running Battle

The African American men engaged in a fighting retreat back to Greenwood as armed whites attacked them. The local police force expanded as the chief deputized 500 white men and boys. Those who did not have weapons went to local pawnshops, hardware stores, and sporting goods stores, breaking in and stealing guns. The targets of the mob evolved from the original armed group to any African American person. Indiscriminate killing began. As both sides reached Greenwood, deadly battles erupted, particularly along the Frisco railroad tracks. In other parts of Greenwood, whites drove into the neighborhood and killed residents from their cars. Some whites began setting fires to property in Greenwood at around 1 a.m. White rioters prevented the fire department from extinguishing the flames.
The National Guard

Some rioters demanded the weapons at the National Guard armory. The guardsmen on duty refused to hand out the weapons. Later, after the authorities officially asked for the assistance of the National Guard, their primary responsibility lay in arresting all of the African Americans in Greenwood and holding them at various locations throughout the city. Another group of guardsmen assisted other whites in attacking groups of remaining African American men who were still defending their property, families, and community.

The Invasion

As dawn approached, approximately 10,000 whites hovered around the edges of Greenwood. Many African Americans remained in their homes, hoping to avoid the conflict and protect their families and property. White men had hauled a machine gun to the top of a grain elevator. At 5:08 a.m., a signal pierced the air. In response to the signal, the machine gunners began firing into Greenwood. The rest of the mob began marching and driving into the neighborhood. Moving from house to house, white rioters broke into homes and businesses and forced the occupants out for internment. Then, they looted the properties.
The Fires

The white rioters set fires “house by house, block by block” as they moved through Greenwood.

As the whites moved north, they set fire to practically every building in the African American community, including a dozen churches, five hotels, 31 restaurants, four drug stores, eight doctor’s offices, more than two dozen grocery stores, and the black public library. More than a thousand homes were torched, the fires becoming so hot that nearby trees and outbuildings also burst into flame.

—*Tulsa Race Riot: A Report by the Oklahoma Commission to Study the Tulsa Race Riot of 1921*
State Troops Deployed

Deployed at 10 p.m. the night before, one hundred Oklahoma City National Guard troops made their way to Tulsa. Upon their arrival at 9 a.m., their commander secured a declaration of martial law. As more National Guard members entered Greenwood, most of the rioters headed home. The National Guard took custody of the camps and declared order restored at 8 p.m. that evening.

National Guard machine gun crew during Tulsa Race Massacre, June 1, 1921 (image courtesy of National Museum of African American History and Culture).

Internment at Convention Hall (image courtesy of OSU Digital Collections).
The Aftermath

The Internment

By the end of the day, the internment camps held 6,000 African American residents. The next day, authorities moved them to the fairgrounds. The National Guard forced these prisoners, both men and women, to labor. The mayor threatened to arrest anyone refusing work for vagrancy. Authorities required them to clean up the destruction caused by the white rioters. The length of stay varied for most of those imprisoned. Release depended on white employers vouching for their African American workers. After that the city issued passes, called green cards, for them to carry to show their employment. By the middle of June, the camps closed.

The Red Cross

After the violence subsided, a Red Cross official from St. Louis named Maurice Willows arrived to assess whether the city required the Red Cross’s assistance. The Red Cross had never conducted relief efforts following a man-made disaster except for war. He quickly determined the victims might not have any other assistance if the Red Cross did not intervene. Willows convinced the leadership of the Red Cross to declare Tulsa a natural disaster area. The mayor announced the Red Cross was to be entirely responsible for the relief effort. The Red Cross stayed for months, assisting African American residents with food, shelter, and medical needs, both those immediately connected to the violence and those that developed under such challenging long-term conditions. As the majority of the displaced lived in tents for a year or more, the Red Cross’s efforts limited suffering and death arising from the aftermath of the massacre. Willows, a strong advocate for the riot victims, also did what he could to preserve the historical record of these events.
The Uprising Narrative

Within a week the leaders of the major institutions in Tulsa began promoting a narrative that blamed the residents of Greenwood themselves for the violence. The Tulsa Tribune, the state’s attorney general, many ministers, and the mayor advanced this argument. The attorney general, in a speech in Tulsa on June 17, said:

The cause of this riot was not Tulsa. It might have happened anywhere for the Negro is not the same man he was thirty years ago when he was content to plod along his own road accepting the white man as his benefactor. But the years have passed and the Negro has been educated and the race papers have spread the thought of race equality.

The grand jury convened to investigate, followed the attorney general’s lead and concluded in its report:

The crowd assembled about the courthouse being purely spectators and curiosity seekers…There was no mob spirit among the whites, no talk of lynching and no arms. The assembly was quiet until the arrival of armed negroes, which precipitated and was the direct cause of the riot.

The lead attorney for the state used her power to give immunity to any whites who looted homes or murdered African Americans. This remained the dominant narrative until attention to the massacre began to fade outside the African American community in Oklahoma.
Land Issues

In early June, some city officials promised to rebuild and began setting up structures to assist the residents of Greenwood. The city directed donations from across the country to the relief efforts of the Red Cross. They actively refused support for reconstruction from other cities, announcing that restoring the city was strictly a “Tulsa affair,” and the residents of Tulsa would take care of it. By June 3, a trade organization called the Real Estate Exchange floated the idea of not rebuilding, but instead rezoning the neighborhood for industrial purposes. Realtors attempted to get African American landowners to sell but wanted the land at discounted rates. Maurice Willows used his influence to convince African American property owners to keep their land. The city responded by applying a fire code to the area that would make rebuilding too expensive for most individual property owners. Well known attorney and activist, B. C. Franklin, along with I. H. Spears and T. O. Chapelle, encouraged residents to start the rebuilding process even though they faced arrest by doing so. Their lawyers vowed to secure the release of anyone arrested for rebuilding. They filed a suit against the city for taking property without due process. They won the lawsuit, providing the neighborhood a chance to survive.

Another challenge facing residents in their attempt to rebuild lay in the insurance companies’ refusal to pay on claim for damages related to the massacre. Insurance policies contained exemptions from paying for damages related to riots.

The residents of Greenwood rebuilt the neighborhood with very little outside investment or support.
**Controversies**

The record of an event is fluid. People who experienced the event remember things differently or may have reasons to avoid telling the whole truth. Our current understanding can change as we learn new facts or develop new ways to look at things. Because of this, most events in history contain some controversies about what happened. There may not be enough evidence to prove or disprove a claim, some claims may not be believable at one time, but reliable at another, and people investigating the event may not explore all of the sources available or have the resources to do so. People may refuse to accept new evidence because of the impact that new evidence might have on the future. The historical record of the Tulsa Race Massacre contains many controversies.

**The Tribune Editorial**

Many of the survivors and witnesses of the massacre remember an editorial in the *Tulsa Tribune* called “To Lynch Negro Tonight,” in the same issue as the front-page article “Nab Negro for Attacking Girl in Elevator.” No complete issue of this paper has ever been located. Someone tore both stories out of the newspaper before historians created an archive of the paper. In 1946, Loren Gill found the front-page article and used it in his thesis on the massacre. The editorial remains a mystery.

**Number Killed and Mass Graves**

The earliest stories about the massacre reported 80–175 deaths. Those estimates were reduced significantly. Today, the official death toll stands at 36. Most people believe this number far too low. Those who witnessed the massacre say they observed evidence of a greater number of dead. These claims led to an investigation into the existence of mass graves in Tulsa, which then became a controversial issue on its own. There is some evidence of the existence of mass graves, but the investigation remains incomplete. It is unclear if the investigation will ever be completed.

**Reparations**

A movement to provide reparations for the harm done grew out of the increased attention and scholarship on the massacre following the 1970s. Legal scholar Alfred Brophy contributed much scholarship and support to this effort. Many people who support reparations argue that the city promised to assist in rebuilding and that the massacre could have been prevented by government action. Legal efforts to compel governments to pay reparations for the Tulsa Race Massacre have been unsuccessful. The courts have generally stated that too much time has passed. In 2001, the Commission to Study the Tulsa Riot of 1921 recommended the payment of reparations after completing their investigation.

**Bombing**

Some who witnessed the massacre recall airplanes dropping bombs on June 1. These witnesses include lawyer B. C. Franklin. Other people report the rioters used planes for reconnaissance. This part of the event will likely never be resolved to the agreement of all, but its importance is apparent. An entire chapter of the report released by the Oklahoma Commission to Study the Tulsa Race Riot of 1921 is devoted to the subject.

**Riot or Massacre?**

Another issue centers on the name of the event. Many people believe calling the events of May 31 and June 1 a “riot” does not accurately describe what happened. Some people point to the use of “riot” contributing to the insurance companies’ refusal to pay out claims. Others argue that people during that period used the word “riot” to describe any large conflict between whites and other groups. A massacre describes the killing of a large number of people indiscriminately, but it may also suggest that African Americans offered no resistance, which is inaccurate.
Recent Events

**Resurgence of Attention**

For decades after the Tulsa Race Massacre, scholars and officials ignored the event. In the early 1970s, a journalist named Ed Wheeler began studying the massacre at the suggestion of a local Tulsa editor. Refused by the publication Wheeler wrote the piece for, he ultimately published in a small periodical called *Impact*. In 1982, Scott Ellsworth wrote a comprehensive history called *Death in a Promised Land: The Tulsa Race Riot of 1921*. As this work attracted more attention and scholarship of the Tulsa Race Massacre, more articles and books followed.

**Commission to Study the Tulsa Race Riot**

In 1997, the Oklahoma Legislature established the Oklahoma Commission to Study the Tulsa Race Riot of 1921. After four years of investigation and the participation of high-profile historians like Scott Ellsworth and John Hope Franklin, they released a 200-page report, creating an official history.

**Centennial Commission**

Scholarship and education about the Tulsa Race Massacre continues through the 1921 Tulsa Race Massacre Centennial Commission, the Greenwood Cultural Center, and through the work of a new generation of historians, journalists, and scholars.

**The John Hope Franklin Center for Reconciliation and Reconciliation Park**

This center, named for B. C. Franklin’s son, noted historian John Hope Franklin, seeks to “transform the bitterness and mistrust caused by years of racial division, even violence, into a hopeful future of reconciliation and cooperation for Tulsa and the nation.” The park is designed to educate visitors about African American history in Oklahoma, including the development of the Greenwood District and the Tulsa Race Massacre. The center develops education resources and hosts events focused on community dialogue.

Scott Ellsworth’s *Death in a Promised Land* spurred greater attention and research on the Tulsa Race Massacre.
Several African American communities in Oklahoma offered their own newspapers. The most important of these newspapers is the Black Dispatch, produced by editor and civil rights activist Roscoe Dunjee. Explore the editorial page of the June 17, 1921, issue of the Black Dispatch at [https://gateway.okhistory.org/ark:/67531/metadc152337/](https://gateway.okhistory.org/ark:/67531/metadc152337/)

1. In “Where the Responsibility Lies,” what does the author identify as contributing to the violence?
2. What is the main idea of “Fight it Out in the Courts”?
3. What argument does Drusilla Dunjee Houston make in “A Blessing in Disguise”?
4. Why do you think Houston makes this argument to Oklahoma’s African American population at this time?

Explore other examples of the African American press in Oklahoma to examine how they viewed the Tulsa Race Massacre.

- **The Muskogee Cimeter** [https://gateway.okhistory.org/explore/collections/MUSKC/](https://gateway.okhistory.org/explore/collections/MUSKC/)
- **The Oklahoma Guide** [https://gateway.okhistory.org/explore/collections/OKGD/](https://gateway.okhistory.org/explore/collections/OKGD/)
- **Tulsa Star** [https://gateway.okhistory.org/explore/collections/TULSA/](https://gateway.okhistory.org/explore/collections/TULSA/)
Collecting Evidence: Oral History

Historians spend their time evaluating claims. One way to build strong evidence that a claim is true is if it is reported by multiple sources. You can practice this skill by listening to oral histories and looking for similar details in the stories told by the subjects. You may listen to oral histories about the Tulsa Race Massacre here:

**Tulsa Historical Society**
https://www.tulsahistory.org/exhibit/1921-tulsa-race-massacre/audio/

**Voices of Oklahoma**
https://m.voicesofoklahoma.com/

As you begin, write down the name of the interview subject and where you found the interview. As you listen, jot down the topics the interview subjects discuss under their name. When you are done, compare the topics and look for agreement.

More agreement suggests the detail is accurate...although sometimes this may not be the case! What reasons can you think of that might cause people who were involved in an event to tell stories with inaccurate details?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Subject:</th>
<th>Name of Subject:</th>
<th>Name of Subject:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source:</td>
<td>Source:</td>
<td>Source:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Data Collection: Race Riots

Comparing the causes and effects of similar events can give historians insight into why the event occurred. Research the race riots in the early 1900s and complete the table. Are there any similarities? Major differences? Surprises?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location of Riot</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Who was punished?</th>
<th>Physical Impact (Number killed, injured, cost of physical destruction)</th>
<th>Causes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Developing an Argument: Taking a Stand

Explore recent material on one of the elements of the Tulsa Race Massacre that continues to generate controversy. Educate yourself on the positions. Decide if you agree with one of the positions or if you believe something different. Write an essay in which you take a strong position on the controversy and explain the reasons why you have come to your conclusion. Don’t forget to include the historical information that supports your points. Pretend you are preparing an argument in a case, just as B. C. Franklin had to do many times in his career as a lawyer.

B. C Franklin, I. H. Spears, and Effie Thompson (image courtesy of the Tulsa Historical Society).
Glossary

accommodations: Something that makes things easier or provides a convenience for a person or group.

agricultural depression: In the 1920s, prices for agricultural products fell drastically and the rural part of the United States fell into an economic depression many years before the Great Depression affected the country.

allegation: A claim that someone broke a law.

bias: A strong feeling or opinion, positive or negative, without any information to justify it.

boll weevils: A kind of beetle that devastated the cotton crop of the South for many years.

compliant: Obeying without challenge.

consensual: Agreement from everyone involved.

controversy: An argument or point of disagreement.

densely: Crowded.

deputize: To give someone the power to enforce the law.

discrimination: A thought that a group is better or worse than other groups and acting on that belief.

discharged: Being forced away from home without a place to go.

dominant: The most important or powerful.

economic development: Increasing the amount of businesses and work.

editorial: A news story that features the opinion of the writer.

entrepreneurs: A person that starts and runs a business.

eugenics: The belief that selective breeding for desirable traits will improve the human race. In the United States, this belief was intimately tied with race and class as indicators of desirable traits.

exemption: Not being required to do what others have to do; being excused from an obligation.

frontier: The place beyond the settlement of one’s group.

green cards: The cards given to Greenwood’s African Americans showing their employment, which allowed them to move around in Tulsa after the massacre.

Greenwood District: The successful African American district in Tulsa.

homestead: A grant of public land to individuals willing to settle and farm the land for five years.

hospitality industry: Workers whose main job is looking after well-being of others.

immunity: Free from.

infestation: An unusually high number of, usually, insects that then cause damage.

infrastructure: All of the things needed to do something; in the oil industry, pipelines, seismic equipment, trucks, storage facilities, refineries are necessary to acquire and sell the product. These are examples of infrastructure.
institutional power: The power that comes from being a decision maker in government or a large organization.

internment camps: Places to detain people considered dangerous, especially during war.

Jim Crow laws: Laws that promoted segregation and racist practices.

loot: Massive stealing from homes and businesses, especially during periods of unrest.

luxurious: Extremely comfortable.

lynching: The killing of a person by a group without a legal trial.

martial law: Government by the military.

massacre: Deliberately and violently killing a large number of people.

minstrel show: Comedy shows based on mocking African Americans.

narrative: A version of a story.

prejudice: Preconceived opinion that is not based on reason or actual experience.

Professor’s Row: The neighborhood on Standpipe Hill in which the wealthiest Greenwood residents lived; many of these residents held prestigious professional positions or were wealthy entrepreneurs.

progress: Advancing and getting better.

relief: Food, clothing, shelter or money given to a person facing a specific difficulty.

removal of the Five Tribes: The forced relocation of the Five Tribes—Choctaw, Chickasaw, Cherokee, Muscogee (Creek), and Seminole—from the Southeast to Indian Territory.

riot: A violent public disturbance that law enforcement cannot control.

rooming house: A large house where individuals can rent a room.

second Ku Klux Klan: Terrorist organization in the 1910s and 1920s that targeted African Americans and minority groups, immigrants, and people that violated laws enforcing morality.

secularism: A move away from focusing on religion.

self-sustaining: Keeps itself going, doesn’t require outside assistance.

Senate Bill One: The first law passed by the Oklahoma state legislature. It required systems of segregation be put in place.

sexual assault: Any sexual contact that involves force or occurs without consent.

shanties: A shelter constructed from used materials.

sharecropping: A system of agriculture in which a landowner rents land to landless farmers. The payment is a percentage of the crop. In the American south, this system resulted in poverty and oppression that lasted generations.

sparse: Not crowded.

subside: Reduce from the peak.

superiority: The highest or best.
tenets: Basic beliefs in which all agree.

terror: Violence used to frighten others from doing something.

urbanization: Moving from rural areas to the city.

vagrancy: Being without a means of support. In the American South, many places had vagrancy laws that required African Americans keep a job or face incarceration.

vouch: To use one’s personal reputation to affirm something is true.
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